UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

COREY HEATH	\mathbf{CC}	RE	${f Y}$	HE	ΑŢ	\mathbf{H}
-------------	---------------	----	---------	----	----	--------------

Plaintiff,

V.

9:96-CV-1998 (FJS/RFT)

- C.O. SADDLEMIRE, C.O. FOLEY, C.O. REYES,
- C.O. PILATICH, C.O. DARLING,
- C.O. MESSINA, C.O. HOTALING,
- C.O. HODGES, C.O. SUPINA,
- C.O. STROUD, C.O. ANGERAMI, C.O. CHEWENS,
- C.O. CHASE, and SGT. PALMER,

Defendants.

VERDICT FORM

Please indicate your response to the following questions by placing an "X" on the appropriate lines.

QUESTION 1:

Has Plaintiff proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that any of the Defendants listed below used excessive force against him in violation of the Eighth Amendment?

Defendant Angerami	Yes	No
Defendant Chase	Yes	No
Defendant Darling	Yes	No
Defendant Foley	Yes	No
Defendant Hodges	Yes	No

Defendant Angerami	%		
indicated abov	o the amount of compensa e, please indicate the perd lowing Defendants is liabl	itory or nominal damages centage of that amount for e.	you have which
	\$		
2	What, if any, compensator as a result of the conduct o 'Yes'' to Question 1?	ry or nominal damages is lof the Defendant(s) for wh	Plaintiff entitled to om you answered
If your answer proceed to Question 3		or all of the Defendants li	sted above,
proceed to Question 2.	·	for any of the Defendants	
Defendant Supina	Yes	No	
Defendant Stroud	Yes	No	
Defendant Saddlemire	Yes	No	
Defendant Reyes	Yes	No	
Defendant Pilatich	Yes	No	
Defendant Palmer	Yes	No	
Defendant Messina	Yes	No	
Defendant Hotaling	Yes	No	

Defendant Chase		_%	
Defendant Darling		%	
Defendant Foley		%	
Defendant Hodges	And the second s	_%	
Defendant Hotaling		_%	
Defendant Messina		%	
Defendant Palmer		_%	
Defendant Pilatich		_%	
Defendant Reyes		_%	
Defendant Saddlemire		_%	
Defendant Stroud		_%	
Defendant Supina	<u> </u>	_%	
QUESTION 3:	the Defendants lis	ted below violate him from the use	derance of the evidence, that any of ed his Eighth Amendment rights by e of excessive force by other
Defendant Angerami	Yes		No
Defendant Chase	Yes		No ·
Defendant Chewens	Yes		No
Defendant Darling	${\mathrm{Yes}}$	<u> </u>	No

Defendant Foley	Yes	No	
Defendant Hodges	Yes	No	
Defendant Hotaling	Yes	No	
Defendant Messina	Yes	No	
Defendant Palmer	Yes	No	
Defendant Pilatich	Yes	No	
Defendant Reyes	Yes	No	
Defendant Saddlemire	e Yes	No	
Defendant Stroud	Yes	No	
Defendant Supina	Yes	No	
If your answer		or any of the Defendants listed	i above,
If your answ proceed to Question		or all of the Defendants listed a	bove,
QUESTION 4:	What, if any, compensator as a result of the conduct of the conduc	y or nominal damages is Plain f the Defendant(s) for whom y	tiff entitled to ou answered

With respect to the amount of compensatory or nominal damages you have indicated above, please indicate the percentage of that amount for which each of the following Defendants is liable.

Defendant Angerami		%		
Defendant Chase		.%		
Defendant Chewens		.%		
Defendant Darling		%		
Defendant Foley		. %		
Defendant Hodges		. %		
Defendant Hotaling		%		
Defendant Messina		%		
Defendant Palmer		%		
Defendant Pilatich		_%		
Defendant Reyes		_%		
Defendant Saddlemire		%		
Defendant Stroud	***************************************	_%		
Defendant Supina		%o		
QUESTION 5:	If you have found (use of excessive for consider whether lany such Defendan	orce) or claim 2 Plaintiff should	(failure to prote	
Defendant Angerami	Yes		No	
Defendant Chase	Vec		No	

Defendant Chewens	Yes	No	
Defendant Darling	Yes	No	
Defendant Foley	Yes	No	
Defendant Hodges	Yes	No	
Defendant Hotaling	Yes	No	
Defendant Messina	Yes	No	
Defendant Palmer	Yes	No	
Defendant Pilatich	Yes	No	
Defendant Reyes	Yes	No	
Defendant Saddlemire	Yes	No	
Defendant Stroud	Yes	No	
Defendant Supina	Yes	No	
Dated:			
	Foreperson		